Case 1:15-cr-00333-LTS Document 109 Filed 10/11/19 Page 1 of 56 1

	J9NQtyuP
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
3	v. 15 CR 333 (LTS)
4	19 CR 658 (LTS) Plea
5	ANDREI TYURIN
6	Defendant x
7	
8	New York, N.Y. September 23, 2019
9	2:30 p.m.
10	Before:
11	HON. LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
12	District Judge
13	APPEARANCES
14	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
15	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York
16	EUN YOUNG CHOI Assistant United States Attorney
17	FLORIAN MIEDEL
18	Attorney for Defendant
19	ALSO PRESENT: Michael DeNicola, S.A. (FBI) Isabelle Avrutin, Interpreter (Russian)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Case called) MS. CHOI: Good afternoon, your Honor. Eun Choi on 2 3 behalf of the government. 4 With me at counsel table is Special Agent Michael DeNicola of the FBI. 5 6 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Choi. And Special 7 Agent DeNicola. 8 MR. MIEDEL: Good afternoon, your Honor. 9 Florien Miedel for Mr. Tyurin. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Miedel. 11 Good afternoon, Mr. Tyurin. 12 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Avrutin, you will be interpreting from 14 English to Russian and vice versa. Is that correct? 15 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, that's correct. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Mr. Tyurin, if you have any difficulty understanding 18 the interpretation or hearing the interpreter at any time, please let me or Mr. Miedel know, or raise your hand. 19 20 Are you hearing and understanding everything clearly 21 so far? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 Now, we have recently had transferred to and opened up 25 in this district indictment 19 CR 658, which was originally

22

23

24

25

filed in the Northern District of Georgia as indictment 15 CR 393. Am I correct in understanding that Mr. Tyurin has not yet been arraigned on the 19 CR 658 transferred indictment?

MS. CHOI: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And has he had a first appearance in connection with that indictment?

MS. CHOI: No, he has not.

THE COURT: All right. So first we'll address the first appearance and arraignment in connection with that indictment.

So, Mr. Tyurin, would you please stand.

(Complies)

THE COURT: Please state your full name.

THE DEFENDANT: Andrei Tyurin (In English).

THE COURT: How old are you?

THE DEFENDANT: 36.

THE COURT: I will now explain to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution of the United States.

You have the right to remain silent. You need not make any statement. Even if you have already made statements to the authorities, you need not make any additional statements. Any statements that you do make can be used against you. Do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have the right to be released, either

conditionally or unconditionally, pending trial unless I find 1 that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your 2 3 presence at future court hearings and the safety of the 4 community. 5 If the government were to ask me to detain you pending 6 trial in connection with this specific indictment, you're 7 entitled to a prompt hearing on whether such conditions exist. Do you understand this right? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an 11 attorney today and at all future proceedings in this case. 12 if you are unable to afford an attorney, I will appoint an 13 attorney to represent you. Do you understand these rights? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Have you retained counsel in connection with this case 19 CR 658? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes. 18 THE COURT: Is that Mr. Miedel? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Do you wish the Court to appoint counsel? 21 THE DEFENDANT: 22 THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States? 23 THE DEFENDANT: No. 24 And what is your country of citizenship? THE COURT: 25 THE DEFENDANT: Russian Federation.

1 THE COURT: Because of your nationality, you're entitled to have your country's consular representatives here 2 3 in the United States notified that you have been arrested and 4 detained. After your consular officials are notified, they may 5 call or visit you. You are not required to accept their 6 assistance, but they may be able to help you retain legal 7 counsel and contact your family and visit you in detention, among other things. Do you understand this right? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Do you wish to have your consulate 11 notified? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. 13 THE COURT: Now, I am informed that -- actually, we 14 will go on to the arraignment. 15 Mr. Miedel, have you seen the indictment that is now numbered 19 CR 658 in this district? 16 17 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, I have. 18 THE COURT: And has it been read to Mr. Tyurin in Russian? 19 20 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, it has. 21 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with Mr. Tyurin? 22 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, I have. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And so, Mr. Tyurin, have you seen this

document that has the number 19 CRIM 658 on it which sets out

25

the charges against you that have been transferred from

Georgia?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Has it been read to you in Russian?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you that are set forth in this indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you want me to read it out loud to you now word for word in court, or do you waive the public reading of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do you wish me to read it out loud to you?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Very well.

I am going to ask you some questions in which I summarize the charges to understand that you are familiar with the charges. Do you understand that Count One of this indictment 19 CR 658 charges you with violating Section 1349 of Title 18 of the United States Code by participating in a conspiracy to commit wire fraud by conspiring with others to defraud financial institutions and other companies?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And to obtain money and property from those financial institutions and companies, including the confidential personal identifying information of customers by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute such scheme transmitted by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce of certain writings, signs, signals, pictures and sounds in violation of Section 1343 of Title 18. Do you understand that that is the charge in Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in each of Counts
Two through Four of this indictment, you are charged with
violating Sections 1343 and 2 of Title 18 by committing wire
fraud in relation to the misappropriation of confidential
business information, specifically by transmitting the internet
logins to the customer account of an individual held in a
certain victim company from a server with a certain internet
protocol address and located in the foreign country as set out
in the indictment to victim servers located in the United
States for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute
the scheme and artifice and aiding and abetting the scheme? Do
you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Five of the indictment charges you with violating Section 371 of Title 18

by participating in a conspiracy to commit computer fraud and identity theft fraud -- sorry -- identity fraud by knowingly agreeing with others to intentionally access protected computers without authorization, and thereby obtain information from protected computers in violation of the Georgia Criminal Statute specified in the indictment; that is, to willfully use and posses with intent to fraudulently use identifying information concerning a person without authorization and consent in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code Sections 1030(a)(2)(C) and 1030(c)(2)(E)(ii). Do you understand that charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in Count Six of the indictment, you're charged with violating Title 18, Sections 1030(a)(2)(C) and 1030(c)(2)(B)(i) and Section 2 by intentionally accessing a computer without authorization, and thereby obtaining information from a protected computer for the purpose of commercial advantage and private financial gain and aiding and abetting the same? Do you understand that charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Seven of the indictment charges you with violating Title 18, Sections 1030(a)(2)(C), 1030(c)(2)(B)(ii) and 2 by intentionally accessing protected computers without authorization and thereby obtaining information from protected computers in furtherance

of a criminal act in violation of the specified Georgia

Criminal Statute; that is, to willfully use and possess with

intent to fraudulently use identifying information concerning a

person without authorization and consent and aiding and

abetting the same? Do you understand that charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in each of Counts Eight through Ten of the indictment, you are charged with violating Sections 1028(a), (a)(1) and (2) of Title 18 by committing aggravated identity theft by knowingly transferring, possessing and using without lawful authority a means of identification of another person during and in relation to the commission of a felony and aiding and abetting the same? Do you understand that charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that the indictment also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit all property constituting or derived from proceeds of the crimes charged in Counts One through Four of that indictment, and your interest in all property used or intended to be used to facilitate the crimes charged in Counts Five through Seven of that indictment? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I have been informed that Mr. Tyurin

intends to plead quilty to Counts One and Five of this 1 indictment 19 CR 658. Is that correct, Mr. Miedel? 2 3 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, your Honor, that is pursuant to a 4 plea agreement with the government and Rule 20 of the Federal 5 Rules of Criminal Procedure. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Mr. Tyurin, how do you plead to the charges in Counts Two, Three, Four, Six, Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten of that 8 9 indictment 19 CR 658? Do you plead not guilty or guilty? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't plead quilty. 11 THE COURT: So you plead not guilty to those counts? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Right, I plead not guilty. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 And I'm also informed Mr. Tyurin intends to proceed to 15 plea guilty to Counts One, Two, Eight and Nine of the ten count superseding indictment that is labeled (S4) 15 CR 333. Is that 16 17 correct, Mr. Miedel? MR. MIEDEL: That is correct. 18 19 THE COURT: And that also is pursuant to a plea 20 agreement with a printed date of September 20, 2019 and an execution date of today, September 23, 2019? 21 22 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: And has the executed copy of the agreement 24 been marked as Government Exhibit 1?

MR. MIEDEL: Yes.

25

THE COURT: You have that there at defense table. Is that correct?

MR. MIEDEL: I do.

THE COURT: Do you also have at defense table the executed copy of the advice of rights form marked as Court Exhibit 1?

MR. MIEDEL: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Ms. Choi, would you make a statement regarding the government's victim identification and notification activities in connection with this proceeding today?

MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor. The government's been in contact with the victims at issue, and they are aware of the proceedings today.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Tyurin, before I accept your guilty plea, there are a number of questions that I must ask you while you are under oath to assure that your plea is valid. At times I may cover a point more than once, and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the advice of rights form that you had seen.

If that happens, that will be because it is very important that you understand what is happening here today. If you don't understand something that I ask you, please say so, and I will reword the question or you may speak with your attorney. Do you understand that?

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 2
               THE COURT: Ms. Ng, would you please administer the
 3
      oath?
 4
               (Defendant sworn)
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 6
               Please remain standing, but you can put your hand
 7
      down.
               Mr. Tyurin, do you understand that you have solemnly
 8
9
      promised to tell the truth, and that if you answer any of my
10
      questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may later be
11
      used against you in a prosecution or perjury for making a false
12
      statement?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
14
               THE COURT: You can be seated for the next part of the
     proceeding.
15
               You are 36 years old. Is that correct?
16
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
               THE DEFENDANT: I graduated from a high school and a
19
20
      college, and I didn't finish the university.
21
               THE COURT: And what field of study did you pursue in
22
      college?
23
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Electrical machinery.
24
               THE COURT: And is that what you studied at university
25
      also?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Are you able to read, speak and understand 3 the Russian language well? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Are you having any difficulty understanding the interpreter? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: No. 8 THE COURT: And you are not a U.S. citizen. Is that 9 correct? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not. 11 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 12 under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 13 THE DEFENDANT: No. 14 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized for any mental illness or any type of addiction, including drug 15 or alcohol addition? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: No. 18 THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any 19 drugs, medicine or pills or had any alcohol to drink? 20 THE DEFENDANT: No. 21 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, fine. 25 THE COURT: Do you feel comfortable making important

```
decisions for yourself today?
1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
               THE COURT: Are you represented by a lawyer here
 4
      today?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: And what is your lawyer's name?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Florian Miedel.
               THE COURT: Mr. Miedel and Ms. Choi, does either of
 8
9
      you have any doubt as to Mr. Tyurin's competence to enter a
10
     plea at this time?
11
               MS. CHOI: No, not from the government, your Honor.
               MR. MIEDEL: No, your Honor.
12
13
               THE COURT: Thank you.
14
               Mr. Tyurin, your attorney has informed me that you
15
      wish to withdraw certain of your earlier not guilty pleas and
      plead quilty to certain counts of each of the indictment we
16
17
      have discussed. Do you wish to plead quilty today?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
               THE COURT: Have you fully discussed your case with
19
20
      your attorney, including the charges to which you intend to
21
      plead guilty and any defenses that you may have to those
22
      charges?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: Have you and your attorney also discussed
25
      the consequences of pleading guilty?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney and his representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Tyurin's responses to my questions, and my observations of his demeanor, I find that Mr. Tyurin is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time.

Before I accept your plea, sir, I am going to ask you some more questions. These questions are intended to satisfy the Court that you want to plead guilty because you are in fact guilty, and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea.

I will now describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you plead guilty. Please listen carefully. If you don't understand something that I am saying or describing, stop me and I or your attorney may explain it more fully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you that are in the two indictments. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right

to plead guilty and to continue to plead not guilty to each of the charges in each of the indictments?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent, and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent at a trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 12 people selected from this district would have to agree unanimously in order to find you guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney at the trial and at all other stages of the proceedings. And if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided to you free of cost. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you, and your attorney could cross-examine them. Also, you would have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your behalf if you so desired.

In addition, you would have the right to have 1 witnesses required to come to court to testify in your defense, 2 3 and you would have the right to testify yourself, but you would not be required to testify. Do you understand all of that? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a 7 trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference could be drawn against you based on your decision not to 8 9 testify? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were 12 convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that 13 verdict? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of the rights that I've asked you about? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 18 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of 19 these rights? 20 THE DEFENDANT: No. 21 THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty 22 today, you will be giving up each and every one of these 23 rights? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be

25

giving up any possible claim that your constitutional rights 1 2 may have been violated? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead guilty 5 today, you will not have a trial? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty today, you will also have to give up your right not to 8 9 incriminate yourself because I will ask you questions about 10 what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are quilty as 11 charged, and you will have to admit and acknowledge your quilt? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your 14 mind right now and refuse to plead guilty. You do not have to 15 enter this plea if you do not want to for any reason. Do you understand that fully? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Do you still want to plead guilty? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Now, I am returning to the subject of the 21 19 CR 658 indictment to confirm your understanding of the 22 charges to which you intend to plead guilty.

Do you understand that Count One of that indictment charges you with violating Section 1349 of Title 18 by participating in a conspiracy to commit wire fraud, conspiring

23

24

25

with others to defraud financial institutions and other companies and to obtain money and property from those financial institutions and companies, including the confidential personal identifying information of customers by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute such scheme transmitting by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce certain writings, signs, signals, pictures and sounds in violation of Section 1343 of Title 18? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that Count Five of that indictment charges you with violating Section 1371 of Title 18 by participating in a conspiracy to commit computer fraud and identity fraud by knowingly agreeing with others to intentionally access protected computers without authorization and thereby obtaining information from protected computers in furtherance of a criminal act in violation of the Georgia Identity Fraud Statute, which is O.C.G.A. Section 16-9-121(a)(1); that is, to willfully use and possess with intent to fraudulently use identifying information concerning a person without authorization and consent in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(2)(C) and 1030(c)(2)(B)(ii).

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And now I will turn to the charges in 1 indictment (S4) 15 CR 333. Have you received a copy of that 2 3 charging instrument as the charges against you in the number 15 4 CR 333 at the top? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Has it been read to you in Russian? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Do you want me to read Counts One, Two 11 Eight and Nine of the indictment out loud to you? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. 13 THE COURT: So I will ask you some more questions 14 again. 15 Do you understand that Count One of indictment 15 CR 333, the (S4) indictment, charges you with violating 16 17 Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 371 by participating in a conspiracy to commit computer hacking in 18 furtherance of federal securities fraud crimes from at least in 19 20 or about 2012 up to and including in or about July 2015? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 22 THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Two of 23 indictment (S4) 15 CR 333 charges you with violating Title 18

of the United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2 by committing

wire fraud in connection with obtaining authorized access to

24

25

computer networks of various companies from at least in or about 2012 up to and including in or about July 2015, and aiding and abetting the same?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Eight of indictment (S4) 15 CR 333 charges you with violating Section 371 of Title 18 of the United States Code by conspiring to violate the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act from at least in or about 2007, up to and including in or about July 2015?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

Do you understand that Count Nine of indictment (S4) 15 CR 333 charges you with violating Title 18, Section 1349 by conspiring to commit wire fraud and bank fraud in relation to an unlawful payment processing scheme from at least in or about 2011 up to and including in or about July 2015.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that this indictment also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit all property constituting or derived from proceeds of the crimes charged in Counts One, Two, Eight and Nine, and your interest in all property used or intended to be used to facilitate the crime charged in Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the government would have to prove each and every part or element of Counts

One and Five of indictment 19 CR 658, and of Counts One, Two,

Eight and Nine of indictment (S4) 15 CR 333 beyond a reasonable doubt at a trial if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Ms. Choi, would you please explain what the government would have to prove if we were going to trial on these charges.

MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor.

If I may, I will start with the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment, and then proceed to the 19 CR 685 indictment.

As your Honor outlined, the plea agreement sets forth the government's acceptance of a guilty plea in Counts One,
Two, Eight and Nine of (S4) indictment starting with Count One,
which is conspiracy to commit computer hacking in furtherance
of federal securities fraud crimes in violation of 18 United
States Code, Section 371.

The crime of conspiracy has three elements:

First, that two or more persons entered into the unlawful agreement charged in the indictment;

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of the conspiracy;

And, third, that one of the members of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one overt act to further some

objective of the conspiracy.

As charged in the indictment, Count One has three unlawful objects:

The first object is computer hacking in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(2)(A) and 1030(c)(2)(B), which has four elements:

First, that without authorization --

THE COURT: Hang on one second.

Ms. Interpreter, is this all right for you or do you want to -- it's all right?

Thank you. Sorry to interrupt.

MS. CHOI: I will try to slow down just a little bit.

That without authorization, the defendant accessed a computer or accessed a computer with authorization but exceeding his authority in accessing the information in question;

Second, that the defendant acted intentionally;

Third, that the defendant obtained information obtained in a financial record of a financial institution;

And, fourth, that the defendant acted for the purpose of commercial advantage or private financial gain, or that the offense was committed in furtherance of a securities fraud scheme, or that the value of the information obtained was greater than \$5,000.

The second unlawful object of Count One is computer

hacking in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(2)(C) and 1030(c)(2)(B), which has four elements:

First, that without authorization, the defendant accessed a computer or accessed a computer with authorization but exceeded his authority in accessing the information in question;

Second, that the defendant acted intentionally;

Third, that the defendant obtained information from a protected computer;

And, fourth, that the defendant acted for the purpose of commercial advantage or private financial gain, or that the offense was committed in furtherance of a securities fraud crime, or that the value of the information was greater than \$5,000.

The third unlawful object of Count One is computer hacking in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 1030(a)(4) and 1030(c)(2)(B). That has four elements:

First, that without authorization, the defendant accessed a computer or accessed a computer with authorization but exceeded his authority in accessing the information in question;

Second, that the defendant acted with the intent to defraud;

Third, that the defendant accessed further the intended fraud;

And, fourth, that the defendant obtained anything of value, including the use of information if the value of that information exceeded \$5,000 in a one-year period.

Count Two of (S4) 15 CR 333 charges wire fraud in connection with obtaining unauthorized access to computer networks of various companies in violation of 18 United States Code, Section 1343 and 2.

The crime of wire fraud has three elements:

First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises;

Second, that the knowingly and willfully participated in a scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with the specific intent to defraud;

And, third, that in execution of that scheme, the defendant used or caused the use of interstate or international wires.

Count Eight of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment charges conspiracy to violate the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act in violation of 18 United States Code, Section 371.

The elements of the crime of conspiracy were the same as in Count One of the indictment, and the unlawful object of Count Eight is violation of the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act, Title 31 United States Code, Sections 5363 and

5366. This crime has three elements:

First, that the defendant engaged in the business of betting and wagering;

Second, that the defendant accepted in connection with the participation of another person in unlawful internet gambling, credit or proceeds of credit, extended to or on behalf of that other person (including credit extended through the use of a credit card), or an electronic fund transfer, or funds transmitted by and through a money transmitting business, or proceeds of an electronic fund transfer or money transmitting service from or on behalf of another person; or any check, draft or similar instrument which is drawn by or on behalf of such other person, and is drawn on or payable at or through any financial institution;

And, third, that the defendant knowingly accepted such transactions.

Count Nine of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment charges conspiracy to commit wire fraud and bank fraud in relation to an unlawful payment processing scheme in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 1349.

The elements of the crime of conspiracy were previously outlined in relation to Count One.

Count Nine has two unlawful objects. The first is wire fraud, the elements of which were previously outlined in relation to Count Two.

And the second unlawful object is bank fraud in violation of United States Code, Section 1344, which has three elements:

First, that there was a scheme to obtain money or property owned or under the control or custody of a bank by means of a materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises;

Second, that the defendant executed or attempted to execute the scheme with the intent to obtain money or property owned or under the custody or control of the bank;

And, third, that at the time of the execution of the scheme, the bank had its deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Moving to indictment 19 CR 658, which was originally in the Northern District of Georgia, Count One of that indictment charges conspiracy to commit wire fraud in relation to the misappropriation of confidential personal identifying information in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

The elements of the crime of conspiracy were previously outlined in relation to Count One of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment, and the unlawful object of this crime is wire fraud, the elements of which were previously outlined in relation to Count Two of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment.

Count Five of the 19 CR 658 indictment charges conspiracy to commit computer hacking in furtherance of a criminal act in violation of the Georgia Identity Fraud Statute, which is in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 371.

Again, the elements of the crime of conspiracy were previously outlined. In this case the unlawful object is computer hacking in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 1030(a)(2)(C) and 1030(c)(2)(B), as was previously outlined in relation to Count One of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment. One distinction, however, is that the information obtained as outlined in the 19 CR 658 indictment was obtained in furtherance of a violation of the Georgia Identity Fraud Statute, which your Honor outlined; specifically, to willfully use and possess with intent to fraudulently use identifying information concerning a person without authorization or consent.

In addition, the government would have to prove venue for each of the counts by a preponderance of the evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Tyurin, do you understand what the government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count One of the

19 CR 658 indictment is 30 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$1 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus \$100 special assessment, plus five years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Five of the 19 CR 658 indictment is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count One of indictment (S4) 15 CR 333 is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Two of the (S4) indictment is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Eight of the (S4) indictment is five years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Nine of the (S4) indictment is 30 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$1 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus five years of

supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do understand that the maximum combined penalty for all of the crimes in the two indictments to which you propose to plead guilty is 95 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of \$3 million or, if greater, the number sums of the relevant gains, losses, property values, and statutory amounts resulting from your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus a total of \$600 in mandatory special assessments, plus supervised release for five years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information to verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty.

Supervised release means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions, you can be sent back to prison without a jury trial.

If you are on supervised release and you do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be sent back to prison for up to three years. You will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your

sentence, and no credit for any time spent on any post release supervision.

So, for example, if you received a prison term and then a five-year term of supervised release, and after you left prison, you lived up to the terms of supervised release for almost five years, but then you violated some term of the supervised release, you could be sent back to prison for three whole years. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, there may be adverse effects on your immigration status, including removal from the United States, further detention following the completion of your sentence, denial of United States citizenship, denaturalization and denial of admission to the United States in the future?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Did you discuss the possible immigration consequences of your plea with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Under current law, there are Sentencing Guidelines that judges must consider in determining your sentence. Have you spoken to your attorney about the Sentencing Guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in determining your sentence, the Court must calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, and consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under Title 18, Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has discretion while taking the guidelines into account to sentence you to any period of imprisonment from time served up to the statutory maximum of 95 years of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I know that.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even though your plea agreement includes a stipulated or agreed Sentencing Guidelines calculation, the Court will not be able to determine your sentence until after a presentence report has been completed by the probation department, and you and the government had a chance to challenge any of the facts reported by the probation department?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you may have the right to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances even if your plea agreement provides that you are waiving your right to appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or

anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I know that.

THE COURT: No one, not even your attorney or the government, can, or should, give you any assurance of what your sentence will be because your sentence cannot be determined until after the probation officer report is completed, and I have ruled on the challenges to the report, and I have determined what the appropriate sentence is. Do you understand this?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea, and you will not be allowed to withdraw your guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Mr. Miedel, would you please show

Mr. Tyurin page 6 of the plea agreement, which is Government

Exhibit 1, and specifically the paragraph with the stipulated

Sentencing Guidelines page.

(Complies)

THE COURT: Mr. Tyurin, this agreement provides that the stipulated Sentencing Guidelines range is from 188 to 235 months of imprisonment. Do you understand that this

stipulation does not bind the Court or the probation department 1 as to the facts on which it is based, as to how to apply the 2 3 quidelines to the facts, or as to what will be an appropriate 4 sentence in your case? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that I may decide to 7 impose a sentence that is outside the guidelines range? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 8 9 THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal 10 sentence, or are you being prosecuted for any other crime? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No. 12 THE COURT: Would you please look again at Government 13 Exhibit 1, which is the plea agreement. Have you signed this 14 agreement? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I signed it. 16 THE COURT: Was it read to you in Russian before you 17 signed it? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I read it in Russian. 19 THE COURT: So was it translated into Russian, 20 Mr. Miedel? 21 MR. MIEDEL: It was translated into Russian. 22 THE COURT: Very well then. Did you discuss it with 23 your attorney before you signed it? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: And did you fully understand the agreement

1 before you signed it? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Does the agreement reflect accurately your 4 complete and total understanding of the entire agreement 5 between the government, your attorney and you? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about 8 your plea and sentence covered in the agreement? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Has anything been left out? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't think so. 12 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other 13 than what is written in the plea agreement, or threatened you 14 or forced you to plead quilty or enter into the plea agreement? 15 No. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 5, the 16 17 agreement includes a stipulation as to the grouping of Counts One, Two and Nine of the (S4) indictment and Counts One and 18 Five of the 19 CR 658 indictment, and I will refer to that 19 20 grouping as Group One, that you agree that the loss was more 21 than \$25 million but less than \$65 million? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that the loss amount 24 affects sentencing calculations under the Sentencing

25

Guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 1 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 2 3 obligation to make an agreement with the government as to the 4 amount of the loss? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 5, the 7 agreement includes a stipulation as to that same Group One that you agree that the offense involved ten or more victims? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Do you understand that the number of victims affects sentencing calculations under the guidelines? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 14 obligation to make an agreement with the government as to the 15 number of victims? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that also on page 5 the agreement includes a stipulation as to Group One that you agree 18 that a substantial part of the fraudulent scheme was committed 19 20 from outside of the United States, and that the offense 21 involved sophisticated means, and that you intentionally 22 engaged in or caused the conduct constituting sophisticated 23 means? 2.4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Do you understand that these facts affect

the sentencing calculations under the guidelines? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that you're under no 4 obligation to make an agreement with the government as to these facts? 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that also on page 5 the agreement includes a stipulation as to Group One that your 8 9 offense involved the possession or use of an authentication feature, and the unauthorized transfer or use of a means of 10 11 identification unlawfully to obtain another means of 12 identification, and the possession of five or more means of 13 identification that unlawfully were obtained by the use of 14 another means of identification? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand that these facts affect 16 17 the sentencing calculations under the Sentencing Guidelines? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 20 obligation to make an agreement with the government as to these 21 facts? 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement also

on page 5 includes a stipulation as to Group One that you agree

that you were convicted of an offense under Title 18, Section

24

1030, and that the offense involved the intent to obtain 1 2 personal information? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that this fact affects 5 sentencing calculations under the Sentencing Guidelines? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand you are under no obligation to make an agreement with the government as to this 8 9 fact? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement 12 again on page 5 includes a stipulation as to Group One that you 13 willfully obstructed, or impeded, and attempted to obstruct and 14 impede the administration of justice with respect to the 15 investigation of the offense of conviction; and that the 16 obstructive conduct related to the offense of conviction and 17 relevant conduct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 18 THE COURT: Do you understand that these facts affect 19 20 sentencing calculations under the Sentencing Guidelines? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no 23 obligation to make an agreement with the government as to these 2.4 facts?

THE DEFENDANT:

I understand, yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that pages 3 and 4 of the agreement provide that you are admitting certain of the forfeiture allegations in each of the indictments, and that you agree that you must forfeit to the United States the sum of \$19,214,956 in United States currency representing the proceeds of the offenses to which you are pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to make an agreement with the government as to any amount that you are required to forfeit?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that anything that you do forfeit will not count against any other fine, penalty or restitution that the Court may impose as part of your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I have been given a proposed consent preliminary order of forfeiture and money judgment providing for the entry of a money judgment against Mr. Tyurin in the amount of 19,214,956 in connection with this guilty plea.

Mr. Miedel, have you reviewed the consent preliminary order of forfeiture?

MR. MIEDEL: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Has it been read to Mr. Tyurin in Russian or translated for him?

1 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, it has. THE COURT: Do you have any objections to the form or 2 3 content of the order? 4 MR. MIEDEL: I do not. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Tyurin, have you reviewed the proposed 6 order of forfeiture with your attorney? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Have you signed it? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed it? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: And, Ms. Choi, would you please explain 14 briefly the computation of the figure provided for by way of 15 forfeiture. MS. CHOI: Your Honor, it is based on various 16 17 communications between the defendant and his co-conspirators as 18 to the specific proceeds that would be given to him as payment for his participation in the crimes. 19 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 So I will enter this in connection with today's 22 proceeding. 23 Mr. Tyurin, do you understand that on page 7, the plea 24 agreement provides that you are giving up or waiving your right

to appeal, to litigate or challenge your sentence under Title

28, Sections 2255 and/or 2241, and your right to seek a sentence reduction under Title 18, Section 3582(c) if I sentence you to 235 or fewer months in prison?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement also provides that you will not appeal any term of supervised release of five years or less?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you will not appeal any fine of \$1 million or less?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement also provides that you are acknowledging that you want to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences of your plea and conviction, even possible deportation or denaturalization and that you will be able to withdraw your guilty plea based on any immigration consequences of your plea and conviction?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement also provides that you are giving up your right to appeal or litigate or challenge your sentence under Sections 2255 and/or 2241 of Title 28 on the basis of any adverse immigration consequences that may result from your guilty plea and conviction?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to waive your rights to appeal or otherwise litigate any aspect of your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement also provides that the appeal and collateral challenge waiver provisions that we had just discussed do not affect whatever rights you may have to assert claims of ineffective assistance of counsel, whether on direct appeal, collateral review, or otherwise?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you will not move for a downward departure under the Sentencing Guidelines or seek any adjustment under the guidelines that is not described in the plea agreement, but that you can make arguments for a lower sentence under the general sentencing statute that is known as Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the government does not oppose or take a position on what your attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and the applicable law, and you will have no idea right to withdraw your plea?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you still want to plead quilty pursuant 2 3 to this plea agreement? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Miedel, do you know of any valid 5 6 reason why Mr. Tyurin would prevail at trial? 7 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why he should 8 9 not be permitted to plead quilty? 10 MR. MIEDEL: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Tyurin, would you please stand now and tell me what you did that makes you quilty of each of the 12 13 crimes to which you are pleading quilty. 14 THE DEFENDANT: Between 2012 and 2015, I agreed with 15 others to hack into computers for the purpose of obtaining information that would give me and my co-conspirators a 16 17 financial advantage. For example, in 2013, I hacked into the computers at a financial publication company in Manhattan and 18 obtained data about their subscribers. The purpose was for 19 20 those subscribers to be contacted and recorded to participate 21 in a fraudulent stock opportunity scheme. 22 MR. MIEDEL: Your Honor, I just want to break in that 23

Mr. Tyurin is going to allocute in order for each count. This was the allocution for Count One of the 15 CR indictment.

THE COURT: Thank you.

24

THE DEFENDANT: So with regards to the Count Two:

Between 2012 and 2015, I participated in a scheme to defraud people through fraudulent investment opportunities. Through my hacking activities, which were conducted by computer and over the internet, I obtained addresses, phone numbers and email addresses of individuals, who were then contacted by my co-conspirators in an effort to defraud them through a pump-and-dump securities fraud scheme.

THE COURT: Before you go on, I just want to ask you.

THE COURT: Before you go on, I just want to ask you. You've used the term defraud. What do you mean by that?

THE DEFENDANT: They were defrauding people with regards to the increase of the value of their investments.

THE COURT: And when you say they were defrauding, do you mean the people with whom you had an agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my co-conspirators.

THE COURT: And you had agreed with them that they would have these communications with the people you were contacting with the stolen information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And when you say that they were defrauding them with respect to the amount that they would receive, do you mean that they were telling people that the people would make more money than they could actually expect to make under this scheme? Was that the fraud?

(Counsel and defendant consult through interpreter)

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that the information that 1 was given to those people was incorrect, was false. 2 3 THE COURT: And you understand that that false 4 information related to the amount of money that those people 5 might be able to make on an investment? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Right. It was not true information. 7 It was made-up information. 8 THE COURT: And this information was given to them in 9 order to persuade them to give over money themselves to invest? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they wanted them to invest in 11 those papers. 12 THE COURT: And what do you mean by pump-and-dump? 13 THE DEFENDANT: It's a scheme where based on the false 14 information -- original false information, the price and the 15 demand of the investment is exaggerated. And then when the price would go up, then those papers will be dumped, they will 16 17 be sold. 18 THE COURT: When you say "those papers," the stocks or the investments? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, stocks. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. You may continue. 22 THE DEFENDANT: I did so in part by using credentials 23 to access databases that I was not authorized to access, and

through the use of wires in the form of internet connections

between computers. Among other entities, I hacked into the

24

databases of companies located in the Southern District of New York.

Count Eight: Between 2007 and 2015, I agreed with others to participate in an unlawful internet gambling scheme. Specifically, my co-conspirators operated unlawful internet gambling site, and by hacking into the database of competitors, I was able to further the internet gambling scheme by providing my conspirators with customer lists for them to contact and to try to lure them to become customers of my co-conspirators' import net gambling operation. Those unlawful gambling sites allowed for U.S. customers, including customers located in the Southern District of New York, to make deposits to gamble through the use of credit and debit cards.

Count Nine --

THE COURT: Before you go on to Count Nine, you've used the term "hacking into computers" several times. In general, what do you mean by "hacking"?

THE DEFENDANT: Unless access of networks.

THE COURT: Unless networks of?

THE DEFENDANT: Sorry. Unlawful access of networks.

THE COURT: And so going into networks where you were not authorized to go in, is that one way -- one thing that you mean?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And does it also mean going into networks

where you had some authority to go in but not authority to do what you did?

MR. MIEDEL: I don't think he had authority to go into any of the networks he went into.

THE COURT: So it was all unauthorized?

MR. MIEDEL: Yes.

THE COURT: So did you have authority to go into any of the networks -- proper authority to go into any of the networks you entered?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may continue with Count Nine.

THE DEFENDANT: Between 2011 and 2015, I agreed with others to defraud credit card processing banks by hacking into a company that assessed merchant risk and compliance for credit card processing issues. This allowed my co-conspirators to determine when their fraudulent credit card processing scheme was being detected, and to institute countermeasures. My assistance in this effort allowed credit card payments for illegal gambling activities disguised as lawful purchases to proceed and avoided fine. My hacking was done by computer and over the internet through the use of wires, and affected various banks, including banks located in the Southern District of New York.

So the following indictment is from Atlanta, Counts

One and Five:

Between 2012 and 2014, I agreed with others to infiltrate the databases of certain financial institutions to obtain personal identifying information of their customers. Specifically, I hacked into at least one financial institution located in Georgia by using my computer via the internet, and I obtained identifying information about its customers, such as names, addresses and email addresses. Some of the customers whose information I obtained lived in Georgia. The purpose of unlawfully obtaining this information was to create a database of people in the United States who would receive mass mailings presenting them with the opportunity to invest in securities. My co-conspirators artificially inflated the prices of the securities and deceived the potential investors about their returns. That's it.

THE COURT: So I noticed that you were referring to some notes when you were explaining to me what you did. Who prepared those notes?

THE DEFENDANT: My attorney.

THE COURT: And did he prepare those based on information that you gave to him about what you've done?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, including that.

THE COURT: And is everything that you have just told me about what you have done the truth about what you have done?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it's the truth.

THE COURT: And when you did the things that you've just told me about, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and illegal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Choi, does the government wish any further factual matters to be addressed in the plea allocution?

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, nothing that the defendant can

allocute to with his own knowledge. The government would just posit or proffer a few facts to show that certain of the elements were met.

First, that the value of the information obtained, which included financial records from various financial institutions, was greater than \$5,000, which would be evidenced both by the defendant's gains as well as the losses to the victims;

That the computers at issue that were hacked were both used exclusively by financial institutions or, alternatively, protected computers because they were in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce;

And that the financial institutions and the banks that were affected by the defendant's hacking schemes included victim banks whose deposits were FDIC insured;

And that, finally, the wires at issue, because of the fact that the defendant was abroad and the victims were in the United States, required the use of interstate and foreign

wires.

THE COURT: Would you please summarize the government's evidence against Mr. Tyurin.

MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor.

The evidence would essentially be three categories, as it were.

First, would be evidence from the victim companies in the form of network logs and other data that would establish that there had been unauthorized access and the exfiltration of data from these various networks;

Second, would be a category of search warrant returns and mutual legal assistance treaty returns that would include evidence of the exfiltrated data held by the co-conspirators, as well as discussions amongst the co-conspirators, including this defendant regarding the hacking activities at issue, and the other criminal activities to which he allocuted;

And, finally, travel records that would establish in combination with evidence from Category Two that it was in fact this particular individual, the defendant, who was the hacker, who was communicating with the other co-conspirators.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Tyurin, how do you now plead to the charge in Count One of the 19 CR 658 indictment? Not guilty or guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty.

THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge in Count

```
Five of the 19 CR 658 indictment?
1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I plead quilty.
 3
               THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge in Count
 4
      One of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I plead quilty.
6
               THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge in Count
 7
      Two of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I plead guilty.
9
               THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge in Count
10
      Eight of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment?
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I plead quilty.
12
               THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge in Count
13
     Nine of the (S4) 15 CR 333 indictment?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: I plead quilty.
15
               THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty to each of these
      charges because you are in fact quilty of the crime charged in
16
17
      each count?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I plead guilty to those counts
18
19
      because I am in fact guilty.
20
               THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of
21
      your own free will?
22
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
23
               THE COURT: Mr. Miedel, would you please show
24
     Mr. Tyurin Court Exhibit 1 the advice of rights form.
25
               Mr. Tyurin, have you signed in form?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Was it read to you in Russian before you 2 3 signed it? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney 6 before you signed it? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And did you understand it before you 8 9 signed it? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Miedel, did you also review and sign 12 Court Exhibit 1? 13 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Miedel, are there any other questions you believe I should ask Mr. Tyurin in connection with the 15 16 plea? 17 MR. MIEDEL: No, I do not, your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Choi, are there any other questions 18 that you believe I should ask in connection with the plea? 19 20 MS. CHOI: No, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Tyurin, you have acknowledged that you 22 are guilty as charged in Counts One and Five of indictment 23 19 CR 658, and Counts One, Two, Eight and Nine of indictment 24 (S4) 15 CR 333. I find that you know your rights and that you 25 are waiving them voluntarily.

Because your plea is entered knowingly and 1 2 voluntarily, and is supported by an independent basis in fact 3 containing each of the essential elements of each offense, I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty of the offenses 4 5 charged in Counts One and Five of indictment 19 CR 658 and 6 Counts One, Two, Eight and Nine of indictment (S4) 15 CR 333. 7 Mr. Miedel, do you wish to be present for any interview of Mr. Tyurin in connection with the presentence 8 9 report? 10 MR. MIEDEL: Yes, I do. 11 THE COURT: I will make that direction. And you can 12 both be seated. 13 Ms. Ng, may I have a sentencing date, please? 14 DEPUTY CLERK: Thursday, January 9, 2020 at 11:30 a.m. 15 THE COURT: Are counsel available on January 9 at 11:30, did you say? 16 17 DEPUTY CLERK: Yes. MR. MIEDEL: Your Honor, I'm going to at least right 18 19 now, it looks like I'm going to be on trial that week of 20 June 6--21 THE COURT: January 6? 22 MR. MIEDEL: Sorry, January 6. I think Ms. Choi is 23 also going to be on trial in January. We were looking for a 24 possible sentencing date at the end of January, beginning of

25

February.

THE COURT: Ms. Nq, what do we have? 1 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, if we could do mid February, 2 3 that would be the government's preference in light of its trial 4 schedule. 5 THE COURT: Does the defense have any objection to mid 6 February? 7 MR. MIEDEL: No. DEPUTY CLERK: Thursday, February 13, 2020 at 2:30. 8 9 MR. MIEDEL: That's fine, thank you. 10 MS. CHOI: That works for the government, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. So sentencing is set for 12 February 13, 2020 at 2:30 in the afternoon. 13 Counsel, please be certain to get any objections or 14 other comments back promptly to probation once the draft report is discussed and make your sentencing submissions in accordance 15 with my sentencing submission procedures which are posted on 16 17 the court website. 18 Ms. Choi, will you get the government's factual summary to probation in the next two weeks? 19 20 MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Miedel, if you have 22 not heard about the scheduling of an interview in the next two 23 weeks, would you please reach out promptly to make sure that 24 that happens promptly?

MR. MIEDEL: Yes, I will.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Mr. Tyurin, the probation department will be preparing 3 a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the probation office. It is important that 4 5 the information that you give to the probation officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in my decision 6 7 as to what your sentence will be. You and your attorney will have the right and will have an opportunity to examine the 8 9 report, to challenge or comment on it, and to speak on your 10 behalf before sentencing. Failing to be truthful with the probation office and the Court may have an adverse effect on 11 12 your sentence and may subject you to prosecution. Do you 13 understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 Counsel, is there anything else we should take up 17 together this afternoon? 18 MS. CHOI: Not from the government, your Honor. Thank 19 you.

MR. MIEDEL: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. We are adjourned. Keep well everyone.

(Adjourned)

24

20

21

22

23